A Sketch by Robert Blake Revealed

By Robert N. Essick and Jenijoy La Belle

Robert N. Essick (professor emeritus, University of California, Riverside) and Jenijoy La Belle (professor emerita, California Institute of Technology) have been collecting and writing about Blake for fifty years.

1 William Blake instructed his beloved youngest brother, Robert (1762–87), in drawing and engraving in the early and mid-1780s. According to Gilchrist, who had “come across” a few of Robert’s “tentative essays” as a draughtsman, “some are in pencil, some in pen and ink … They unmistakably show the beginner—not to say the child—in art; are naif and archaic-looking; rude, faltering, often puerile or absurd in drawing; but are characterized by Blake-like feeling and intention, having in short a strong family likeness to his brother’s work” (1: 57). Robert’s extant drawings are listed, and most are reproduced, in Martin Butlin’s catalogue of William Blake’s paintings and drawings. These include Blake’s Notebook, first used by Robert and containing six drawings attributable to him, Robert’s sketchbook of sixty pages, and nine separate leaves of drawings and sketches. Since the publication of Butlin’s catalogue in 1981, one further work has come to light, a pencil sketch of a deathbed scene on the verso of An Invocation (?) (see illus. 2 for the recto). To this modest inventory we can now add one further sketch.

2 At a 2013 Sotheby’s auction, Robert Essick acquired Joseph Ordering Simeon to Be Bound, a preliminary watercolor by William Blake for the finished work he exhibited at the Royal Academy in 1785. The drawing was firmly affixed along its margins to an acidic mat. Mark Watters, a skilled paper conservator, removed the work from its backing in the summer of 2014 and thereby revealed on its verso a barely visible pencil sketch of figures (illus. 1). The lines may once have been much darker; mistreatment over the years, or even purposeful (but incomplete) erasure, may have contributed to the present condition of the drawing. Although the sketch is probably a single composition, there are two groups of standing figures, apparently gowned males, at least two right of center and three or four left of center. The body of the major figure on the right faces forward, but his head is turned in left profile. Only a few features are clearly visible, including his head, the left side of his body, and his left leg, extended back and to the right. The lines defining the left side of his body and his left leg are more darkly drawn than the right side of his body; the right leg is only suggested. Both feet are visible, with a horizontal line between them defining the hem of his garment. A few lines right of his upper left thigh suggest that his left arm is lowered by his side. Alternatively, both arms may be raised to hold the circular object barely visible above his head.

3 The next figure to the left is represented by the vague outline of a face, apparently turned three-quarters to the left. His body, like his companion’s, may face forward, with a few lines indicating his lowered right arm at his right side, bent slightly at the elbow. This may be an alternative, but quickly abandoned, position for the figure to the right, whose face is at the same level within the composition.

4 A few lines just left of the right edge of the leaf, particularly in the lower right corner, may be human limbs barely defined. With a little effort, it is possible to see (or imagine) a face in left profile just below and to the left of the top right corner. There may be an eye, a blunt nose, and a downward-curving mouth indicated by a single line. This face, if we are not simply assembling it ourselves from stains and a single pencil line, appears unrelated in size and position to the two heads to the left.

5 The rightmost standing man in the group left of center faces up and to the right with his right arm slightly bent and extended vertically. A few lines suggest a raised left arm as well. His mouth may be open. A few lines above his head suggest a conical hat of some sort. The face of the next figure to the left is larger and more detailed, including an open mouth, a prominent nose, a right eye, and a full beard. He too faces up in right profile with both arms raised vertically. Clusters of lines just below the top edge of the leaf may include his hands along with some undefined motif to the left. The leftmost figure in this group of three is smaller, possibly because he is standing in the distance. His posture is difficult to determine, but there are slight indications of a
face, with eyes, nose, and mouth, facing in three-quarter view to the right. He too has a long beard and either long hair or a hood or helmet over his head. A few sketchy lines above and to the left, close to the left edge of the leaf, may be the bent and raised arm of yet a fourth figure in this group. Between these more or less visible lines is the faint shadow of a head facing left. Far below, just left of the puddled hems of the gowns worn by the first and second figures from the right in this group, a few curving lines indicate something on the ground, perhaps a stone or the lower reaches of the figure (if indeed there is one) furthest left.

The attribution of the drawing to Robert Blake depends upon stylistic considerations, the expression of the figures’ postures, and the possible subject of the design. Gilchrist’s general comments, quoted above, on Robert’s achievements as an artist are all too readily confirmed by the newly uncovered work. The stiff and awkward strokes of his pencil are easily distinguished from his brother’s flowing, more assured lines, as demonstrated by the watercolor on the recto. A good touchstone for comparison is offered by Robert Blake’s An Invocation (?) (illus. 2). The raised arms of the bearded man on the right in this sketch, with what appear to be the raised arms of other figures standing behind him, are matched by the same gesture displayed by two figures in illus. 1. This man’s head in illus. 2 is also turned upward and, like the head furthest right in illus. 1, the outline of his profile is hardly more than a straight line with only the slightest projecting features, such as a nose. These two works may share a common subject centering on figures looking and reaching heavenward in supplication. If indeed the figure furthest right in the recently revealed drawing holds a circular object over his head, then he matches the frontmost figure on the left in An Invo-

Both drawings hint at a confrontation—or at least a difference in expression and gesture—between two groups of figures.

7. The verso sketch (illus. 1) includes a pencil inscription upper left, upside down in relation to the sketch but properly oriented in accord with the recto watercolor. This reads “1 guinea for half”, with the last two words lined through. This is a price, with a fifty percent discount offered but deleted, written by a dealer in the nineteenth century. Other drawings by Blake bear similar verso inscriptions, including a good many acquired by the dealer Joseph Hogarth, probably from Frederick Tatham, no later than the 1850s. Butlin’s provenance record for the preliminary watercolor of *Joseph Ordering Simeon to Be Bound* begins with “Alexander Macmillan by 1876.” The work may have been in Hogarth’s hands at an earlier date and possibly included among the seventy-eight drawings attributed to “W. Blake” in the 1854 auction of Hogarth’s stock.

4. A few pencil lines below and to the left of “for half” might be the word “Lot”, oriented the same way as the sketch, but these lines may be part of the sketch and only look like a word by accident.

8. We can confidently date the preliminary Joseph watercolor, the recto of illus. 1, to 1784–85 because of the 1785 exhibition of the finished version. We suspect that Robert drew
both sketches illustrated here at around the same time: they are on the same laid paper with identical crown and fleur-de-lis watermarks. Which was executed first, the newly discovered drawing or William’s watercolor on the other side of the leaf? We cannot answer that question with any certainty. A light pencil sketch, abandoned by his brother early in its development and with one side untouched, could provide William with a support for a preliminary watercolor. It is equally possible, however, that Blake had no further use for the watercolor once he had produced the finished work for exhibition, and the leaf passed to Robert for his own uses. In either case, this shared piece of paper serves as an apt symbol of the close relationship between the brother artists.
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